

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/045,523	10/19/2001	Geetha Pannala	1011-59279	9975
24197	7590 02/13/2006		EXAMINER	
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP			TAT, BINH C	
SUITE 1600	MON STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PORTLAND	, OR 97204		2825	
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	A
	10/045,523	PANNALA ET AL.	W
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Binh C. Tat	2825	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	,
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatior - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sl Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the n earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communica BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	3 November 2005		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.		
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allo		ters, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex part</i> e Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 October 2001 is/		objected to by the Examiner.	
 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 19 October 2001 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to 	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ o	·	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 19 October 2001 is/	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ (the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	I(d).
10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 October 2001 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to	fare: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ of the drawing(s) be held in abeya mection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	` '
 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 19 October 2001 is/Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the contain. 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the 	fare: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ of the drawing(s) be held in abeya mection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	` '
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 19 October 2001 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	fare: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ of the drawing(s) be held in abeya mection is required if the drawing a Examiner. Note the attache	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 ^o d Office Action or form PTO-152.	` '
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 19 October 2001 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the col 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	fare: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ of the drawing(s) be held in abeya mection is required if the drawing a Examiner. Note the attache	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 ^o d Office Action or form PTO-152.	• •
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 19 October 2001 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	fare: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ of the drawing(s) be held in abeya mection is required if the drawing a Examiner. Note the attached beign priority under 35 U.S.C.	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 ^o d Office Action or form PTO-152.	• •
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 19 October 2001 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	fare: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ of the drawing(s) be held in abeyangetion is required if the drawing to Examiner. Note the attached eign priority under 35 U.S.C. thents have been received.	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 ^a d Office Action or form PTO-152. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	` '
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 19 October 2001 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	fare: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ of the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing a Examiner. Note the attached beign priority under 35 U.S.C. thents have been received.	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12. d Office Action or form PTO-152. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). Application No	• •
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 19 October 2001 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the constant of the	fare: a) accepted or b) or the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing to Examiner. Note the attached eign priority under 35 U.S.C. thents have been received. The priority documents have been received in Appriority documents have been received.	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12. d Office Action or form PTO-152. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). Application No	• •
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 19 October 2001 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the constant of the	the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing e Examiner. Note the attached eign priority under 35 U.S.C. thents have been received. The have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12dd Office Action or form PTO-152dd Office Action or form PTO-152d	` '
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 19 October 2001 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the constant of the	the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing e Examiner. Note the attached eign priority under 35 U.S.C. thents have been received. The have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12dd Office Action or form PTO-152dd Office Action or form PTO-152d	` '
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority doc	the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing e Examiner. Note the attached eign priority under 35 U.S.C. thents have been received. The heaven been received in Apriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Ilist of the certified copies not	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12dd Office Action or form PTO-152dd Office Action or form PTO-152d	` '
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 19 October 2001 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the constant of the	fare: a) accepted or b) or the drawing(s) be held in abeyan rection is required if the drawing a Examiner. Note the attached a	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12dd Office Action or form PTO-152dd Office Action or form PTO-152d	• •

Application/Control Number: 10/045,523

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

This is a response to the amendment + remarks filed on 09/12/05.

• Claims 1-53 are pending.

After thoroughly considering the amendment + remarks, the previous rejections and/or allowable subject matter have been with drawn in view of the amendment + remarks. After thoroughly considering the amendment + remarks, the examiner finds that a restriction is required for this application because the claimed groups recite different subject matters, see the followings.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claim groups directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group	Invention
I.	Claims 1, 2, 4, 14-17, 27-30, and 42-51 drawn to the connectivity strength corresponding
	to a quantification of the at least one connection between the first symbol and the second
	symbol.
II.	Claim 3 drawn to the side selected according to a connectivity strength corresponding to
	the number of connection between the first symbol and the second symbol.
III.	Claims 5-8, 18-21, 31-34, 52, and 53 drawn to the connectivity strength corresponds to a
	number of connections existing between the at least one pair of symbols.
· IV.	Claims 9-11, 22-24, and 35-37 drawn the connectivity strength being determined by the
	at least one connection in between the at least one pair of symbols.
V.	Claims 12-13, 25-26, and 38-39, drawn to at least one predefined symbol in the netlist,
	selecting sas the next pair of symbols.
VI.	Claims 40 and 41, drawn to signals defining component symbols and connections
	generated according to a netlist.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any

Art Unit: 2825

claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Binh Tat whose telephone number is 571-272-1908. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Chiang can be reached on 571-272-7483 The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Binh Tat

Patent Examiner

STACY A. WHITMORE PRIMARY EXAMINER